# Proceedings of Special Executive Body Meeting of SAPI held on 8.2.2018 at NIANP, Bangalore

This special executive body meeting was held on 8.2.2018 as per the resolution of the general body (at Bidar on 21.12.2017) that there is a need to look into proforma /criteria for various awards of SAPI. The meeting was held with the required quorum and made the following proposals for further consideration/suggestions/approval by the executive members who could not attend the meeting. Subsequently the modified proposals shall be placed on the website of SAPI for further suggestions and approval by the members of SAPI

#### I. General Guidelines for all the awards

In the application forms for all the awards, the Membership number column should be at the top right hand corner of the first page.

Following undertaking/ declaration shall be incorporated in the application form of all the awards:

I have not applied for any other SAPI award during this year based wholly / in part of the work presented here

OR

I have applied for more than one SAPI award based wholly / in part of the work presented here ( name all the other awards you have applied this year) and I understand that if recommended for more than one award, I will be given only one award as decided appropriate by SAPI.

- b. I was not selected for the same award earlier for which the present application is being submitted.
- c. In case of application for the session award, it should be mentioned 'I was not selected for any session award during the last three years.
- d. I agree that the decision of the Jury is final and no correspondence can be entertained by SAPI in this matter.

### II. YOUNG SCIENTIST AWARD

- An additional declaration by the candidate that the work is not already published/ shall not be published in full or in part earlier to the annual conference of SAPI.
- To make the decision of Judges more objective the following changes are.
- Proposed.
  - All contestants shall be identified by a random number chosen through lottery by the contestants.
  - The contestant should not display their name, institute name, Logo or address or Acknowledgements or make their identity known to the audience in any other way.

To maintain anonymity of the contestants, there will not be any separate section in the compendium for the Young Scientist award abstracts. Abstracts submitted for the Young scientist award shall be published in the relevant sessions( Ex: endocrinology/CVS etc) in the compendium along with other abstracts.

## Criteria for the selection FOR YOUNG SCIENTIST AWARD as well as Sessionwise Best paper Award

- a. The following criteria with marks are proposed:
- b. Back ground Hypothesis and Objective 10 marks
- c. Relevance of Experimental Design / Soundness of Data Analysis 10 marks
- d. Interpretation / Significance / Discussion of work 10 marks
- e. Presentation quality / slides / Language skills 10 marks
- f. Defending questions / Time Management 10 marks

TOTAL: 50 MARKS

### i) POSTER AWARD

Criteria are suggested same as young scientist award but in the last criteria, instead of 'time management' it would be 'Interaction with the Judges'.

ii)

- a. Best paper award in each session shall be restricted upto Associate professor/Sr. Scientist. Professors and Principal scientists and above shall not be eligible for best paper awards in sessions/posters.
- b. Criteria are suggested same as young scientist award
- iii) THESIS AWARDS
  - a. All applicants shall submit three soft copies and a hard copy to the secretary SAPI along with the filled in proforma and required attachments and declarations
  - b. Evaluation shall be based on the following criteria as per the marks allotted.
    - i. Hypothesis / Review / Objectives. Marks 15
  - Ii. Relevance and suitability of experimental design and statistical methods.- Marks 10
  - Iii. Significance and Practical importance of work. Marks 10
  - Iv. Conclusions and conformity with the objectives. Marks 10
  - V. \*Research Articles published from the thesis. Marks 5

TOTAL: 50 MARKS

(\*Submit copies of only Two Best full length articles published from the thesisin peer reviewed journals)

\*Apart from other declarations as mentioned above, one more declaration to be given in the application for the thesis awards as follows.

I certify that the soft copy submitted along with this application is a true copy of the hard copy submitted.

iv) Jury for various awards

- a. The Jury for all the awards should award marks for all the applicants.
- b. The jury shall submit their report only in the prescribed proforma supplied to them
- c. Jury will be acknowledged in the Indian Journal of Animal Physiology.

### v) Regarding Indian Journal of Animal Physiology

Since Dr.J.P.Ravindra, Chief Editor is superannuating from service of ICAR, Dr.K.S.Roy, Associate Editor located in same place i.e Bangalore, where Dr.Ravindra is residing, came forward to provide all logistic support to continue the publication of online journal.